

#### Student Profile

Women ## (%) Men ## (%)

TOTAL Student ##

## Alumni Profile

Women ## (%)

Men ## (%)

TOTAL ALUMNI ##

Alumni Count in classes of [1974] to date (if there is a particular cohort you are curious about)

Women ## (%)

Men ## (%)

TOTAL in the cohort:

# GIVING

#### **Annual Fund Participation**

Women Donors (FY 19) #/% of total

Male Donors (FY 19) #/% of total

Annual Fund Dollars

From Women (FY 19) \$/% of total

From Men (FY 19) \$/% of total

## Gifts Over \$10,000

From Women - # Gifts, Average \$ amount

From Men - # Gifts, Average \$ amount

## # Gifts of \$50,000+ in one of last 3 fiscal years

From Women - # Gifts, Average \$ amount From Men - # Gifts, Average \$ amount

Affinity Giving, OR Reunion Giving (or other entry points of giving beyond annual fund)

From Women - # Gifts, Average \$ amount

From Men - # Gifts, Average \$ amount

Rated Prospects overall, and in [classes of xxxx to date - if there is

a cohort you want to learn more about]

Women ## (%) Men ## (%)

Total:

Assigned Rated Prospects overall, <u>and</u> in [classes of xxxx to date – if there is a cohort you want to learn more about]

Women ## (%) Men ## (%)

Total:

#### GIVING by Rated Prospects

From Women - # Gifts, Average \$ amount From Men - # Gifts, Average \$ amount

## GIVING by Rated Prospects versus their ratings

From Women – Their giving to date as a % of their rating

From Men - Their giving to date as a % of their rating

With this last analysis, it is then interesting, and sometimes illuminating, to calculate "What if women gave 3% more? 5% more? 10% more? over 5 years? The resulting amount for each % change is a way of noting "THIS is our potential right now if we meet women as they prefer."

See Duke's Model in separate attachment.